6/16/77

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 6/16/77 Container 26

To See Complete Finding Aid:

 $\underline{http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff_Secretary.pdf}$

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE

Thursday-June 16, 1977

7:30 Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski - The Oval Office.

9:30 Meeting with a Delegation from the Committee (15 min.) for National Health Insurance. (Mr. Stuart Eizenstat) - The Roosevelt Room.

10:00 Meeting with Democratic Congressional Group. (60 min.) (Mr. Frank Moore) - The State Dining Room.

11:00 Mr. Jody Powell - The Oval Office.

11:45 Greet Congressman Wesley W. Watkins Family.
(10 min.) (Mr. Frank Moore) - The Oval Office.

12:00 Lunch with Mr. Bert Lance - The Oval Office.

2:00 Meeting with His Excellency Dr. Abdullahi (10 min.) Ahmed Addou, Ambassador of Somalia. (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski) - The Oval Office.

2:25 Meeting with Ambassador Arthur Hummel, Jr. (5 min.) (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski) - The Oval Office.

2:30 Budget Review Meeting. (Mr. Bert Lance). (2 hrs.) The Cabinet Room.

7:50 Depart South Grounds via Motorcade for Kennedy Center.

8:00 Attend Performance of Marcel Marceau at the Kennedy Center.

Rick or Bill:

If the attached has not already gone to the President, please use this copy. (Impoundment should have been plural.)

Thanks

tions

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 16, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

STU EIZENSTAT

SUBJECT:

Congressman Clifford Allen

Line-Item Veto

C

Congressman Clifford Allen now admits that he was in error; the public works bill does not include any provision for such a line-item veto. He said he was referring to your right to send a rescission message to Congress on each project.

To support a rescission, majorities of both houses of Congress must approve. The burden is on the President to obtain the majorities.

Courts have interpreted the U.S. Constitution as prohibiting a line-item veto by the President (which would have to be overruled by Congress, with the burden on Congress). One of the criticisms of Nixon's impoundment was that they amounted to implicit line-item vetos.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

June 16, 1977

Charlie Schultze -

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Stu Eizenstat

Re: Investment and Business
Taxation





JUN 1 5 1977

TO:

1 11 1


THE VICE PRESIDENT

MIDGE COSTANZA STU EIZENSTAT HAMILTON JORDAN BOB LIPSHUTZ FRANK MOORE JODY POWELL JACK WATSON

FROM: RICK HUTCHESON

SUBJECT: INVESTMENT AND BUSINESS TAXATION

The original memorandum has been forwarded to the President. Attached is a copy for your information.





THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN. COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS WASHINGTON

Charlie Go over This with Mike & Lang. J.C.

CHARLES L. SCHULTZE, CHAIRMAN LYLE E. GRAMLEY WILLIAM D. NORDHAUS

June 15, 1977 cc 5/m

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

Charlie Schultze of by wn

SUBJECT: Investment and Business Taxation

RELATION TO THE OVERALL ECONOMIC STRATEGY 1.

Our overall economic strategy to 1981 is based on a very ambitious goal for business investment -- growth in real investment by 9 to 10 percent per annum. This goal has been attained only once in the postwar period, from 1962 to 1966. Three important points:

- The 1962-66 period was one in which both real GNP grew rapidly -- about 5-1/4 percent annually -- and in which there were substantial new incentives for investment (the ITC was instituted in 1962 and depreciation allowances were also liberalized that year).
- . If we do not attain the goal for investment, it is very likely that at least one of our other goals will have to be sacrificed (e.g., Federal spending greater than 21 percent of GNP, an unbalanced budget, or, worst of all, unemployment above our target). If investment does not pick up substantially, we will soon be faced with the choice of either letting the unemployment rate stay well above our target or providing additional economic stimulus through increased budget deficits.
- . Increased investment also plays a central point in our anti-inflation program. By having sufficient capacity we can help avoid bottlenecks and demand-induced price increases.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes For all these reasons I put investment very high on my priority list.

2. ALTERNATIVE TAX APPROACHES FOR STIMULATING INVESTMENT

There are basically two approaches for stimulating investment with tax policy: (a) tax incentives directly tied to investment; and (b) reductions in corporate taxes.

(a) Tax incentives directly tied to investment

There are a number of possible measures which would link reductions in business taxation directly to investment. The conventional measures are the Investment Tax Credit (ITC) and Accelerated Depreciation (through increasing the Asset Depreciation Range, ADR). The ITC and ADR are generally estimated to be 2 to 3 times more powerful as stimulants to investment per dollar of budget impact than a reduction in corporate taxes.

In making investment decisions, business firms examine not only the size of their future markets ("Do we need an expansion in capacity?"), but also investment opportunities which introduce new technology and increase efficiency ("Will this investment pay for itself by way of lower costs?"). The ITC or ADR reduce the cost of investing in new technology and efficiency-raising projects. As a consequence, some investments which would not be sufficiently profitable without the ITC or ADR become profitable with them.

(b) Reducing taxes on corporate source income

There are a number of ways of reducing generally the rate of taxation on corporate income: full integration, partial integration (the Treasury proposal), or a reduction of the corporate tax rate. They all have about the same effect on investment per dollar of revenue loss, although partial integration may have the smallest stimulative effect as I explain below. In terms of both economic efficiency and a progressive income distribution, full integration is probably the best.

Partial integration is essentially a tax reduction on dividends. Overall, partial integration (together with a 2 percent increase in the corporate tax rate) would cost \$15 billion in 1981. It is hard to see what we get for that money.

There are three reasons why this large revenue loss would be less likely to stimulate investment over the next four years than other alternatives:

- 1. Unlike direct tax incentives (ITC or ADR), the "reward" of tax reduction will come whether or not a firm invests.
- 2. Under partial integration (particularly when capital gains are taxed as ordinary income), the higher the dividend payout ratio, the greater the tax savings to stockholders. As a result, formidable stockholder pressures to increase dividends are likely to develop. An example of the return to increased payout is shown in the attached table. If dividend payout ratios rose, corporations would have to raise more funds externally (which they have been reluctant to do). This effect of partial integration may tend to inhibit investment, especially in the early years of the scheme.
- 3. In order to reduce the cost of its proposal, the Treasury proposes <u>not</u> to pass through to stockholders the advantage of the existing ITC. For a typical company, paying out one-half its profits in dividends, this cuts in half the investment incentives currently provided by the ITC.

As noted earlier, direct incentives for investment (such as ADR or ITC) are around 2 to 3 times more effective than general corporate tax reductions in stimulating investment per dollar of tax loss. Points 2 and 3 above may make the differential impact on investment even larger.

3. POLITICAL AND PRACTICAL LIMITATIONS

It seems to be accepted truth that an increase in the ITC will not fly politically. One possible alternative is <u>indexing</u> depreciation to reflect rising prices of capital goods. However, this would set a dangerous precedent and raises some sticky problems of equity.

As a consequence, I think we should consider the following realistic possibilities for direct investment stimulus:

- 1. Increasing accelerated depreciation by raising the ADR from the current 20 percent to 40 percent on new equipment.
- Providing a 20 percent ADR for industrial, utility and related structures, on which ADR does not now apply. (Heavy construction is now very depressed relative to equipment -- in the first quarter of 1977 equipment investment was 5 percent below its 1974 peak, while structures were almost one-fifth below their prior peak.)

The attached chart shows how far depreciation for tax purposes has fallen below economic depreciation. The reason for this is inflation; as prices of capital goods rise, the depreciation on existing plant and equipment bought years earlier falls below the current cost of replacement. Increasing ADR is a way to restore the ratio without the dangers inherent in indexing.

3. The present 50 percent limit on the proportion of corporate profits taxes against which the ITC can be credited could be raised to somewhere between 75 and 100 percent.

We are now examining the revenue costs and economic consequences of a "package" of investment incentives incorporating the above elements. A set of such measures can be designed which will lose much less revenue than the \$15 billion loss in 1981 of partial integration, but will achieve equal or greater investment growth.

4. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

(a) Treasury has stated that ITC and ADR are slightly more regressive than partial integration. That is true. But to get any given amount of investment the amount of revenues given away by a package built around ITC and ADR can be held to a much lower level than the cost of partial integration. Hence, this objection to the overall income distribution effect of providing investment incentives through ITC and ADR can be overcome.

For example, consider the effect of alternative proposals on households with incomes over \$50,000. For the same investment effect, the tax reduction to these households would be approximately \$3 billion for partial integration, but only \$1-1/2 billion for ITC or ADR.

(b) If you decide to choose some form of integration, it is not necessary to go all the way. There is merit in retaining some separate tax on corporation earnings, even when those are distributed and taxed as dividends. The privilege of limited liability is immensely valuable and essential to building up large agglomerations of capital. Some part of the corporate tax can be considered as the price paid for this privilege.

Some Novel Proposals

We are also investigating a number of more novel alternatives.

The greater stimulative effect on investment of the ITC and the ADR relative to reductions in corporate taxes diminishes over time. Over the next four to five years, ITC and ADR will stimulate much more investment than the other forms of reducing corporate taxes, but eventually that superiority becomes smaller.

Since the need for investment growth over the next three to five years is urgent, it would be possible to introduce some form of special ADR or ITC increase, and then phase it down to zero as integration was gradually phased in. Moreover, we could propose a net ITC (a credit only for investment in excess of a fixed base period depreciation, or in excess of 75 percent of such depreciation). This would give a very large "bang for the buck" -- probably several times greater than the regular ITC or ADR. It would "reward" only those who are doing more than routinely replacing their existing capital.

These kinds of ideas have a number of complications but are worth exploring further, and we are doing so.

Illustration of the Incentives to Increase the Dividend


Payout Ratio with Partial Integration:

Total tax rate on corporate income at different payout ratios

Assume \$100 in corporate income, a 40 percent effective corporate tax rate, and taxpayer in 30 percent bracket.

Dividends as % of Profits	100%	50%	0%
Corporate Tax	\$40	\$40	\$40
Personal Tax	-10	-5	0
Retained Earnings Tax 1	0	4.5	9
TOTAL TAX ON STOCKHOLDER	\$30	\$39.5	\$49

^{1/}Assumes that capital gains are taxed as ordinary income when realized after 10 years, and that the return on the money saved through tax deferral is 8 percent per year.



RATIO OF TAX-BASED DEPRECIATION TO STRAIGHT LINE DEPRECIATION AT REPLACEMENT COST FOR THE CORPORATE SECTOR.

^{*}Projected to 1981 at 5-1/2 percent inflation.

June 16, 1977

Hamilton Jordan -Jack Watson

For your information the attached handwritten notes to members of the Cabinet were delivered today.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Regional and State Appointments

THE WHITE HOUSE

6-16-77

To Gni ffin Bell

Please do estry thing

possible to expedito

the appointments of

Us Attorneys of marshelf.

Honor the sensitivities

of Congress.

Timung

To hay Marshall

Please do everything

possible to expedite

the appointments of Regiones

personnel. Honor the

sensitivities of Conques.

Immuny

To Bob Bergland

Please do everything

possible to expedite

the appointments of

regional & state fen
sonnel. Honor the

Sensitivities of longuess.

To Tae Califano

Please do everything

possible to expedite the
appointments of segional

personnel. Honor the

Sensitivities of Conquess.

Timmey

6-16-78

To Pat Harris

Please do every thing possible to expedite the appointments of regional person nel. Honor the sensitivities of Congress.

I imung

STATUS REPORT ON APPOINTMENTS

Regional

Department	Decisio Make Appoin	44	Appointees Identified	Announced	Total Announced
HEW	YES	10	-	0	0
HUD	YES	10	4	1	1
LABOR	YES	10	0	0	. 0
TRANSPORTATION	NO		-	-	-
			,		

Summary: Out of forty potential regional appointees, 30 will be appointed by the Cabinet and only one has been announced.

Other

# To Department Appoint	Status		Total
AGRICULTURE			
FHA Directors* 50	Appointed Vacancies In process: USDA 13 WH 15 18	0	
	Incumbents	2 <u>4</u> 42	

^{*}Under recent law suit by Republican incumbents, USDA was enjoined from replacing FHA Directors. Suit settled in late May.

Department	# To Appoint	Status	Total
ASCS Directors	* 50	Appointed Vacancies Incumbents	0 27 24
ASCS Committee	s	Appointed Decision pend- ing at USDA Clearance (WH)	22 1 16 19
JUSTICE	1	,	
U.S Attorneys	94	13 Awaiting 26 Cleared b by Justic 6 Held by W	by Senate Senate Confirmation y WH, waiting final action e H, due to serious conflicts nitial action by Justice
U. S. Marshals		6 Awaiting 38 Cleared b by Justic 6 Held by W	by Senate Senate Confirmation y WH, waiting final action e H, due to serious conflicts nitial action by Justice

^{*}ASCS Directors subject to same suit which enjoined USDA from making appointments until late May.

Summary

The members of Congress are unhappy over:

- they have done very poorly in securing jobs from the departments;
- 2) the regional appointments have not been made; as a consequence, Republican holdovers continue in these positions making decisions they are perceived as politically undermining Democratic Congressmen and Senators;
- 3) the jobs they do greatly influence (U.S. attorneys, marshals, agricultural jobs) are delayed because of law suits and extremely long security checks;

This represents accumulatively a serious political problem for the Administration thatbleeds our relations with Congress as a whole and with individual members of Congress.

What you can do:

- 1) Insist that Labor, HEW and HUD move rapidly to fill regional appointments;
- 2) Call Judge Bell and ask Justice to expedite the FBI checks on all marshals and U.S. District Attorneys;
- 3) Call Bergland and get him to expedite all of his appointments;

We have pushed and gotten very little results. You are going to have to get personally involved to make this happen.



DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

0

June 10, 1977

1977 JUN 11 AM 10 35

The President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Enclosed is the report on the regional and state appointments in the Department as requested June 10.

Respectfully, White

DOHN C. WHITE Acting Secretary

Enclosure

USDA's appointment of State Committees and ASCS and FmHA Executive Directors were delayed by a Class Action Suit filed by incumbents of these positions. They claimed they could not be removed from their Schedule A positions for political reasons. USDA won its suit with Judge Pratt rendering a favorable decision this week. USDA is now in the process of filling most nonveteran positions affected by this decision.

Here is a status report on:

ASCS - State Committees

ASCS - State Committees		
Appointed In process: USDA Waiting WH clearance TOTAL	16 13	22 <u>29</u> <u>51</u>
ASCS - State Executive Dire	ectors	
Appointed Vacancies In process: USDA Waiting WH clearance Waiting appointment of ASCS Committee Incumbents TOTAL	9 4 <u>14</u>	0 27 24 (20 veterans) 51
FmHA - State Directors		
Appointed Vacancies In process: USDA Waiting WH clearance Waiting interviews Incumbents TOTAL	4 5 9	0 18 <u>24</u> (22 veterans) <u>42</u>
ASCS - Regional Directors		
Appointed In process: USDA TOTAL	0 <u>5</u>	<u>5</u>



THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20201 June 11, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

RE: Regional Appointments

As you requested on June 10th, I am sending you this status report on HEW's regional appointments.

The appointment of the ten HEW Regional Directors has been awaiting completion of our organizational study of the regional offices.

That study is nearing completion, and we are now turning our attention to filling these positions. My staff has already interviewed scores of candidates. I have personally met with several at the request of members of Congress.

As you requested, I will expedite the review process to ensure speed and quality in these appointments, and we will have recommendations for a significant number of slots within thirty days and expect to complete our appointments within sixty.

As we complete our reviews, I will forward our proposed appointments for White House clearance.

seph A. Califano, Jr



THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

June 13, 1977

RL

The President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

In reply to your June 10 memorandum, I am providing a status report on the Department of Transportation Regional and State appointments which are vacant.

The Department of Transportation has only ten non-career positions in the field. Previously there had been a non-career Secretarial Representative in each of the ten standard Federal regions. Pursuant to our previous conversations, I have deliberately left all of these positions vacant, pending a potential field reorganization of the Department.

The Department of Transportation has numerous career Field Representatives in each State from the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Aviation Administration, and the United States Coast Guard. There are a limited number of career positions from the Federal Railroad Administration and the Urban Mass Transportation Administration in some of the standard regions. From this group of career employees, I have designated an acting departmental representative who is in charge of coordinating activities of the Department in each of the ten standard Federal regions.

As you can see from this complex career service organization, the role of a non-career Secretarial Representative must be clearly defined, or that representative will not function well.

I have informed all Senators and Congressmen who have called that we are not filling these positions. I do not think it would be appropriate at this time to automatically abolish them, since this position may be essential for coordinating intergovernmental activities to be certain that all of the various sections of the Department act in a coordinated intermodal fashion.

Respectfully,

k Adams

elau

JUN 1 3 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

From: Juanita M. Kreps

Subject: Status Report & Regional Appointments

The Secretarial Representatives and Federal Cochairmen of the Title V Regional Commissions are the only regional appointments in the Department.

Grandy M. Kieps

We have completed the selection of appointees for the ten Regional Secretarial Representatives located in the standard Federal cities. Press releases announcing these appointments are being prepared.

The nominations for the Federal Cochairmen of the Title V Commissions have been sent to the Senate for confirmation.

Lists are attached of appointments to each position.

Attachments

REGIONAL SECRETARIAL REPRESENTATIVES (GS-15 - Schedule C)

Region I Boston Helen M. Keyes

Region II New York Frederick A. Schenck

Region III Philadelphia Frederick A. Ricci

Region IV Atlanta Paul A. Hemmann

Region V Chicago Loren A. Wittner

Region VIII Denver Stephen L. R. McNichols

Region IX San Francisco Allen C. Haile

Region X Seattle Leonard W. Saari

Note: We have retained the incumbents in Region VI Dallas (Edward L. Coker) and Region VII Kansas City (Gayle W. Jackson)

FEDERAL COCHAIRMEN, TITLE V REGIONAL COMMISSIONS (Level IV, PAS)

Coastal Plains

Four Corners

New England

Old West

Ozarks

Pacific Northwest

Southwest Border

Upper Great Lakes

Claud Anderson

F. Kenneth Baskette

J. Joseph Grandmaison

George D. McCarthy

Patsy Anne Danner

Patrick J. Vaughan

Cristobal P. Aldrete

William Bechtel

June 11, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Yesterday you requested a status report on regional and state appointments.

As you know, unlike most of the Executive Departments the Department of Defense does not have regional or state components as such. The Defense Civil Preparedness Agency does have eight regional directors; however, these have been designated by the Civil Service Commission as career civil service positions.

The Mississippi River Commission has three public members, appointed by the President for a term of nine years at \$7,500 per annum. The terms of two of the incumbents expire July 31, and recommendations as to their replacements will be made in the near future.

There are a number of similar statutory regional commissions with responsibilities in the civil works area -- for example, the California Debris Commission and the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact. However, in each of those cases membership is limited by statute to military or civilian personnel of the Army Corps of Engineers.

Harold Brown

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
WASHINGTON

1977 JUN 13 PM 3 25

June 13, 1977

"FYI"

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

Ray Marshall KM

SUBJECT:

Department of Labor's Regional Appointments

In response to your June 10 memorandum on regional appointments, I would like to provide a short history of this matter in the Department of Labor. The regional structure of the Department consists of a regional administrator and staff for each program and support agency. In each case the regional administrator is a senior career civil servant. In addition to these positions, a regional director has been appointed for each Federal region. This person has been a political appointee.

In February I asked my staff to evaluate from a management and efficiency point of view the desirability of continuing the regional directors' posts. My judgment was that they should be abolished. However, the White House staff requested that no action be taken on regional positions until both the reorganization authority legislation was passed and you had made a decision on the Federal regional presence. This last issue is still to be finally resolved. As a result, I have taken no action and have regularly informed interested members of Congress of the status of these appointments.

During this waiting period, considerable Congressional pressure has built up. Therefore, it is my intent to make my ten regional appointments. However, I plan to significantly redefine and restructure these positions so as to conform to a more effective Department of Labor regional presence. It should be noted that it is difficult for a department to move forward on this matter when it is still unclear what the nature of the Federal regional structure will be.



THE SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, D. C. 20410

June 10, 1977

MEMORANDUM TO: The President

SUBJECT : Status of Regional Administrator

Appointments

I have reached a decision on four Regional Administrators and will interview two other top candidates on Tuesday, June 14th. I will forward the names of candidates for a majority of the Regional Administrator positions to you for approval on Thursday, June 16th.

I plan to forward the remaining names to you no later than Tuesday, June 21st.

I have delayed these selections pending the completion of our organizational study because one of the alternatives for reorganization that I considered was the elimination of all of our regional offices. Our internal reorganization proposal, which was forwarded to Mr. Lance today, did not eliminate the regional offices, and I am now able to forward my recommendations to you as indicated above.

Patricia Roberts Harris



Office of the Attorney General Mashington, D.C.

June 14, 1977

1977 JUN 15 PM 2 12

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

RL

RE: Status of State and Regional Appointments

Attorney General Bell has asked me to respond to your memorandum of June 10 regarding the status of state and regional appointments. As applied to the Department of Justice, I imagine that Members of Congress are concerned primarily about delays in the appointment of Marshals and United States Attorneys. I am attaching our most recent status sheets in these two areas.

Regional appointments in LEAA are being held up pending a final decision on the future of this organization.

The United States Parole Commission has some members in various parts of the country. I forwarded our recommendations for that organization to the White House on the 1st of April and have had no response.

Michael J. Egan Associate Attorney General

Attachments - 2

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CANDIDATES

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WH	Senate Conf Appt Oath
Alabama, N.		J.R. Brooks, Jr.	Sen Sparkman Sen Allen	4/11/77	5/26	6/3
Alabama, M.		Barry E. Teague	Sen Sparkman Sen Allen	4/28/77		
Alabama, S.	U.S.A. to resign 7/29/77	7 William A. Kimbrough	Sen Sparkman Sen Allen	3/24/77	5/17	
Arkansas, E.	USA Term has expired					
Colorado	Cathlin Donnell	Joseph F. Dolan	Sen Hart Sen Haskell	4/11/77		
Connecticut		Richard Blumenthal	Sen Ribicoff	3/16/77	5/25	6/3
Delaware	James W. Garvin	James W. Garvin	Sen Biden	3/9/77	4/22	4/28
Georgia, N.	,	William L. Harper	Sen Nunn Sen Talmadge	4/28	6/9	
Georgia, .M.	Charles T. Erion	D. Lee Rampey, Jr.	Sen Nunn Sen Talmadge	4/28		
Georgia, S.		William T. Moore, Jr.	Sen Nunn Sen Talmadge	4/28	6/7	6/13
Guam	Ralph F. Bagley					
Hawaii		Robert Kimura	Sen Inouye	3/30/77		
Idaho	M. Karl Shurtliff	M. Karl Shurtliff	Sen Church	5/17/77		
Illinois, N.		Thomas P. Sullivan	Sen Stevenson	3/3/77	5/10	5/17
Illinois, E.	Frederick J. Hess	James R. Burgess, Jr.	Sen Stevenson	3/24/77	5/25	6/3
Illinois, S.	Gerald D. Fines					

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CANDIDATES

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WH	Senate	Conf Appt Oath
Indiana, N.	Richard L. Kieser	David T. Ready	Sen Bayh	6/14/77			
Indiana, S.		Virginia D. McCarty	Sen Bayh	2/22/77	5/5	5/27	
Iowa, S.	James R. Rosenbaum	Roxanne B. Conlin	Sen Clark Sen Culver	6/1/77			
Kansas		James P. Buchele	Martha Keys, MC	3/11/77	6/8		
Kentucky, E.		Patrick H. Molloy	Sen Huddleston Sen Ford	4/11/77	5/26	6/3	
Kentucky, W.		Albert Jones	Sen Huddleston Sen Ford	5/17/77			
Louisiana, E.		George W. Reese	Sen Long Sen Johnston	3/24/77			
Louisiana, M.	Cheney C. Joseph	Donald L. Bechner	Sen Long Sen Johnston	3/10/77			
Louisiana, W.	Edward L. Shaheen						
Massachusetts		Edward F. Harrington	Sen Kennedy	5/26/77			
Michigan, E.		James K. Robinson	Sen Riegle	4/28/77	5/26	5/27	
Minnesota	Thorwald H. Anderson	Andrew W. Danielson	Sen Humphrey Sen Anderson	3/28/77	5/6	5/12	6/14
Missouri, E.	Barry A. Short						
Missouri, W.		Ronald S. Reed	Sen Eagleton	4/28/77	6/13		
New Hampshire		William H. Shaheen	Sen Durkin Sen McIntyre	5/24/77			
New Jersey		Robert J. Del Tufo	Sen Williams				

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CANDIDATES

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WH	Senate	Conf Appt Oath
N.Y., N.	Paul V. French	Peter E. Corning	Sen Moynihan	5/19/77			
No. Carolina,E	. Carl L. Tilghman	George M. Anderson	Sen Morgan	4/11/77	6/7	6/13	
No. Carolina,M	Benjamin H. White	Henry M. Michaux	Sen Morgan	4/11/77	6/7	6/13	
No. Carolina,W		Harold Edwards	Sen Morgan	4/11/77			
Oklahoma, N.	Hubert H. Marlowe	Hubert H. Bryant	Chris Delaporte				
Oklahoma, E.	•	Julian Fite Gary E. Payne	Chris Delaporte	5/19/77 5/19/77			
Oklahoma, W.	John E. Green	Larry Patton Melvin Singleterry	Chris Delaporte				
Pa., W.		Daniel H. Shapira	Mayor Flaherty	NO GO			
Tennessee, E.	USA to resign 6/30/77	John H. Cary	Sen Sasser	5/17/77			
Tennessee, M.		Hal Hardin	Sen Sasser	3/8/77	6/6	6/10	
Texas, N.	Kenneth J. Mighell	Kenneth J. Mighell	Sen Bentsen	4/29/77			
Texas, E.	1	John H. Hannah, Jr.	Sen Bentsen	3/31/77			
Texas, W.	Jamie C. Boyd	Jamie C. Boyd	Sen Bentsen	3/16/77	5/6	5/12	6/13 6/14
Texas, S.	James R. Gough	J.A. "Tony" Canales	Sen Bentsen	3/24/77			
Utah	,	Ronald L. Rencher	Sen McKay	5/19/77			
Washington, E.	Robert S. Linnell	James J. Gillespie	Sen Jackson Sen Magnuson	3/1/77			
W.Va., N.	Stephen G. Jory						

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CANDIDATES

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI '	WH Senate	Conf Appt Oath
W.Va., S.	USA resigned pending Q&A of successor	Robert B. King	Sen Byrd	4/28		
Wisc., E.						
Wisc., W.	Frank Tuerkheimer	Frank M. Tuerkheimer	Cong Kastenmeier	3/10/77	4/15 4/21	
Wyoming	Toshiro Suyematsu	Charles E. Graves	Cong Roncalio	3/30/77		
*Ohio, N.	William D. Beyer					

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	, MH	Senate	Conf Appt Oath
S. Carolina		Andrew J. Chishom	Sen. Hollings	2/8	3/14	4/4	4/26 4/27 5/6
S. Dakota		Edward P. Gribben	Sen McGovern Sen Abourezk	3/24			
Tenn. E.		Harry D. Mansfield	Sen Sasser	5/16			
Tenn. M.		William J. Evins	Sen Sasser	5/16			
Tenn. W.		Willie Durham	Sen Sasser	5/16			
Texas E.	James G. Barton	James G. Barton	Sen Bentsen	3/29	5/11	5/17	
Texas N.		Clint Peoples	Sen Bentsen	To be	retained	- Appoi	intment expires Apr. 78
Texas S.		Theddis R. Coney	Sen Bentsen	4/29			
Texas W.	James F. Johnson	Rudy A. Garza	Sen Bentsen	3/30	. 5/6	5/13	6/13
Vermont		Herbert Callan	Esther Sorrell	5/12			
Virginia W.		Paul J. Puckett		5/31			
Wash. W.		Joseph J. Harvey	Sen Magnuson Sen Jackson	3/22	5/11	5/17	
W. Va. N.		Hubert T. Taylor	Sen Randolph	4/27			
W. Va. S.		Charles M. Adkins	Sen Byrd	6/9			
Wisconsin E.		William L. Brown	Sen Proxmire	6/8			
Wyoming		James Byrd	Cong. Roncalio	3/10	4/22	4/28	6/14

Wisconsin W.

Lloyd De Tienne Sen. Proxmire

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WН	Senate	Conf Appt Oath
New York E.	Frank E. Devoy	Louis J. Soscia	Sen Moynihan	6/1			
New York N.		Raymond B. Stefano	Sen Moynihan	5/19			
New York S.	Frank A. Juliano	George V. Grant	Sen Moynihan	5/20			
New York W.		Alvin Grossman	Sen Moynihan	5/16			
N.C. East		Hugh Salter	. Sen Morgan	5/12			
North Dakota		Harold Warren	Sen Burdick	3/15	5/6	5/13	5/25 5/25
Ohio N.		Donald J. Kindt	Sen Glenn Sen Metzenbaum	24			
Ohio S.	Eugene C. Smith	Roy A. Smith	Sen Glenn Sen Metzenbaum				
Okla. E.		Rex O. Presley	Cong. Delegation	5/27			
Okla. N.		Carl Gardner	Cong. Delegation	5/20			
Okla. W.		Gerald Emmett	Cong. Delegation	5/26			
Oregon		Wallace Bowen	Cong. Ullman Cong. Duncan Cong. Weaver	6/7			
Penna. E.		Ed Schaeffer	Cong. Delegation	5/24			
Penna. M.		Frank Cottner	Cong. Delegation	5/26			
Puerto Rico		Jose A. Lopez	To be retained -	Current a	appointm	ment expi	res Dec. 78

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WH	Senate	Conf Appt Oath
New York E.	Frank E. Devoy	Louis J. Soscia	Sen Moynihan	6/1			
New York N.		Raymond B. Stefano	Sen Moynihan	5/19			
New York S.	Frank A. Juliano	George V. Grant	Sen Moynihan	5/20			
New York W.		Alvin Grossman	Sen Moynihan	5/16			
N.C. East		Hugh Salter	Sen Morgan	5/12			
North Dakota		Harold Warren	Sen Burdick	3/15	5/6	5/13	5/25 5/25
Ohio N.		Donald J. Kindt	Sen Glenn Sen Metzenbaum	6/9			
Ohio S.	Eugene C. Smith	Roy A. Smith	Sen Glenn Sen Metzenbaum	6/10			
Okla. E.		Rex O. Presley	Cong. Delegation	5/27	•		
Okla. N.	?	Carl Gardner	Cong. Delegation	5/20			
Okla. W.		Gerald Emmett	Cong. Delegation	5/26			
Oregon		Wallace Bowen	Cong. Ullman Cong. Duncan Cong. Weaver	6/7			
Penna. E.		Ed Schaeffer	Cong. Delegation	5/24			
Penna. M.		Frank Cottner	Cong. Delegation	5/26			
Puerto Rico		Jose A. Lopez	To be retained -	Current a	appointm	ment expi	res Dec. 78

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WH	Senate	Conf Appt Oath
Illinois E.	Ronald J. Bahl	Albert S. Hinds	Sen Stevenson	3/24	5/6	5/13	
Illinois N.	Frank J. Reddy	Harvey N. Johnson	Sen Stevenson	6/8			
Illinois S.		Harry H. Marshall	Sen Stevenson	6/8			
Indiana S.		Frank J. Anderson	Sen Bayh	4/1			
Kansas		Bert D. Cantwell	Terry Scanlon	6/7			
Kentucky E.		Billie Lykins	Sen Huddleston Sen Ford	3/23			
Kentucky W.		Robert L. Wright	Sen Huddleston Sen Ford	3/9	5/9	5/17	5/27 5/27 6/2
Massachusetts	James I. Hartigan	James I. Hartigan	Sen Kennedy	4/28			
Minnesota		Wesley D. Lane	Sen Humphrey Sen Anderson	4/28			
Missouri E.		Franklin Payne	Sen Eagleton	5/2			
Missouri W.		Emmett W. Fairfax	Sen Eagleton	4/11	6/9		
Montana		John C. Krsul	Sen Metcalf Sen Melcher	- 5/16		٠.	
Nevada		Richard J. Dunn	Sen Cannon	4/28			
New Hampshire		Robert E. Raiche	Sen McIntyre Sen Durkin	5/12			
New Mexico		Bennie A. Martinez	Cong Runnels Cong Lujan	4/11			

District	Court Appointed	Official Candidate	Recommended by	FBI	WH	Senațe	Conf Appt Oath
Alabama N.		Ralph C. Bishop	Sen Sparkman Sen Allen	4/28			
Alabama M.		Luke Childrey	Sen Sparkman				
Arizona	Howard T. Easley	Lee A. Limbs	Sen DeConcini	3/30	6/6	6/10	
Calif. E.		John C. Begovich	Sen Cranston	4/4			
Calif. S.		James R. Laffoon	To be retained -	Appointment	expir	es Sept.	79
Calif. N.		Glen E. Robinson	Sen Cranston	6/3			
Colorado		Rafael E. Juarez	Sen Haskell Sen Hart	4/25			
Connecticut	7-	Anthony G. Dirienzo	Sen Ribicoff	3/17	4/22	4/28	5/13 5/13 6/3
D.C.	77.0	J. Jerome Bullock			4/15	4/21	
Florida M.		George R. Grosse	Sen Chiles Sen Stone	3/30	6/10		
Florida N.		Emmett Shelby	To be retained -	Appointment	expir	es Mar.	78
Florida S.		Donald D. Forsht	Sen Chiles Sen Stone	5/27			
Georgia M.	Robert E. Land	Robert E. Land	Sen Nunn Sen Talmadge	5/24			
Georgia S.	5/24 - Jimmy Kurphy	Jimmy C. Murphy	Sen Nunn Sen Talmadge	4/6	5/26	6/3	
Guam		Juan Blas	Cong. Won Pat	4/5			
Hawaii		Edward N. Keliikoa	Sen Inouye Sen Matsunaga	3/15			
Idaho	Anton Skoro	Anton Skoro	Sen. Church				

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

To Graiffin Bell

Please do along thing

possible to expedite

The appointments of

Us Afformers & manshells.

Honor the sensitivities

of Conquess.

THE WHITE HOUSE
* WASHINGTON

6-16-77

To hay Marshalf

Please do everything

possible to expedite

the appointments of Regiones

personnel. Honor the

sensitivities of Conques.

Imany

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

To Bob Bergland

Please do everything

possible to expedite

the appointments of

regional & state fer
sonnel. Honor the

Sensitivities of longuess.

To Toe Califano

Please do everything

possible to expedite the
appointments of segional

personnel. Honor the

Sensi huities of Congress.

Timmey

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

6-16-78

To Pat Harris

Please do every thing possible to expedite the appointments of vegion of the person nest. Honor the Sensitivities of Congress.

I imung



THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR WASHINGTON

June 10, 1977

1977 JUN 10 PM 3 54

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: The Secretary of the Interior

SUBJECT: Major Topics for the Week of June 6

You indicated that your son "Chip" was working on a program to make food available to underdeveloped countries instead of guns and ammunition. I agree with his goal and have had some experience in this area as Governor of Idaho. If I can be of assistance, please have him call me.

HE

With the commercial fishing season about to open in the State of Washington, we have a potential for major problems between Indian and white fishermen because of the "Boldt Decision." It is really a law enforcement problem, but we are watching it because Indians are involved. Judge Bell and Juanita Kreps are also participating.

In response to your memorandum of today, we have completed our recommendations for all field positions with the exception of some River Basin Commissions. These will be cleared with Ham and the Vice President in the very near future.

I attended a meeting of the National Governors' Conference Executive Committee and can report that we are winning approval in that quarter for your actions. All send their best wishes.

Next week I will visit California on Redwoods, Auburn Dam, water reforms and the Santa Barbara Channel controversy involving Exxon and the State of California.

CECIL D. ANDRUS



THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY WASHINGTON 20220

June 14, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Subject: Status of Regional Appointments in the Treasury

Department

The Treasury Department has seven Presidential appointments in field offices within the Bureau of the Mint (see attachment). At present, one of the positions is vacant and six of the positions are filled with persons appointed by the previous Administration. No action has yet been taken on their employment status for two reasons.

First, it has not been determined as yet the structure that will be established for the Bureau of the Mint. Reorganization studies are currently in process with recommendations to follow in the very near future. The second reason is that four of the positions are highly technical and require a great degree of technical expertise. In order to better understand the requirements of the positions and thus seek persons capable of performing the functions, it has been necessary to move more slowly in the possible replacement of the incumbents.

As soon as these related studies are completed, some personnel changes are contemplated. The present incumbents' cooperation has been acknowledged and they have been informed that as soon as final decisions are made as to the future operational structure of the Mint, they will be properly advised on their employment tenure.

W. Michael Blumenthal

Attachment



REGIONAL APPOINTMENTS IN THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT

Name	<u>Title</u>	Grade	Type of Appointment
Higby, Betty	Superintendent, U. S. Mint, Denver	GS-15	Presidential
Frost, Hildreth	Assayer, U. S. Mint, Denver	GS-13	Presidential
Theodore, Nicholas	Superintendent, U. S. Mint, Philadelphia	GS-15	Presidential
Gasparro, Frank	Engraver, U. S. Mint, Philadelphia	GS-14	Presidential
Keller, Jack H.	Assayer, U. S. Mint, Philadelphia	GS-13	Presidential
(Vacant)	Superintendent, U. S. Assay Office, New York City	GS-15	Presidential
Ryan, Allan S.	Assayer, U. S. Assay Office, New York City	GS-14	Presidential



THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20201

June 11, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

RE: Regional Appointments

As you requested on June 10th, I am sending you this status report on HEW's regional appointments.

The appointment of the ten HEW Regional Directors has been awaiting completion of our organizational study of the regional offices.

That study is nearing completion, and we are now turning our attention to filling these positions. My staff has already interviewed scores of candidates. I have personally met with several at the request of members of Congress.

As you requested, I will expedite the review process to ensure speed and quality in these appointments, and we will have recommendations for a significant number of slots within thirty days and expect to complete our appointments within sixty.

As we complete our reviews, I will forward our proposed appointments for White House clearance.

seph A. alifano, Jr.



DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

June 10, 1977

1977 JUN 11 AM 10 35

The President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Enclosed is the report on the regional and state appointments in the Department as requested June 10.

Respectfully,

DOHN C. WHITE Acting Secretary

Enclosure

USDA's appointment of State Committees and ASCS and FmHA Executive Directors were delayed by a Class Action Suit filed by incumbents of these positions. They claimed they could not be removed from their Schedule A positions for political reasons. USDA won its suit with Judge Pratt rendering a favorable decision this week. USDA is now in the process of filling most nonveteran positions affected by this decision.

Here is a status report on:

ACCC Ctate Committees

ASCS - State Committees		
Appointed In process: USDA Waiting WH clearance TOTAL	16 13	22 29 51
ASCS - State Executive Dire	ectors	
Appointed Vacancies In process: USDA Waiting WH clearance Waiting appointment of ASCS Committee Incumbents TOTAL	9 4 14	0 27 <u>24</u> (20 veterans) <u>51</u>
FmHA - State Directors		
Appointed Vacancies In process: USDA Waiting WH clearance Waiting interviews Incumbents TOTAL	4 5 9	0 18 24 (22 veterans) 42
ASCS - Regional Directors		
Appointed In process: USDA TOTAL	0 <u>5</u>	<u>5</u>



THE SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, D. C. 20410

June 10, 1977

MEMORANDUM TO: The President

SUBJECT : Status of Regional Administrator

Appointments

I have reached a decision on four Regional Administrators and will interview two other top candidates on Tuesday, June 14th. I will forward the names of candidates for a majority of the Regional Administrator positions to you for approval on Thursday, June 16th.

I plan to forward the remaining names to you no later than Tuesday, June 21st.

I have delayed these selections pending the completion of our organizational study because one of the alternatives for reorganization that I considered was the elimination of all of our regional offices. Our internal reorganization proposal, which was forwarded to Mr. Lance today, did not eliminate the regional offices, and I am now able to forward my recommendations to you as indicated above.

Patricia Roberts Harris

Pati Both No

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY WASHINGTON

1977 JUN 13 PM 3 26

June 13, 1977

"FYI"

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

Ray Marshall KW

SUBJECT: Department of Labor's Regional Appointments

In response to your June 10 memorandum on regional appointments, I would like to provide a short history of this matter in the Department of Labor. The regional structure of the Department consists of a regional administrator and staff for each program and support agency. In each case the regional administrator is a senior career civil servant. In addition to these positions, a regional director has been appointed for each Federal region. This person has been a political appointee.

In February I asked my staff to evaluate from a management and efficiency point of view the desirability of continuing the regional directors' posts. My judgment was that they should be abolished. However, the White House staff requested that no action be taken on regional positions until both the reorganization authority legislation was passed and you had made a decision on the Federal regional presence. This last issue is still to be finally resolved. As a result, I have taken no action and have regularly informed interested members of Congress of the status of these appointments.

During this waiting period, considerable Congressional pressure has built up. Therefore, it is my intent to make my ten regional appointments. However, I plan to significantly redefine and restructure these positions so as to conform to a more effective Department of Labor regional presence. It should be noted that it is difficult for a department to move forward on this matter when it is still unclear what the nature of the Federal regional structure will be.

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

June 11, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Yesterday you requested a status report on regional and state appointments.

As you know, unlike most of the Executive Departments the Department of Defense does not have regional or state components as such. The Defense Civil Preparedness Agency does have eight regional directors; however, these have been designated by the Civil Service Commission as career civil service positions.

The Mississippi River Commission has three public members, appointed by the President for a term of nine years at \$7,500 per annum. The terms of two of the incumbents expire July 31, and recommendations as to their replacements will be made in the near future.

There are a number of similar statutory regional commissions with responsibilities in the civil works area -- for example, the California Debris Commission and the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact. However, in each of those cases membership is limited by statute to military or civilian personnel of the Army Corps of Engineers.

Harold Brown

JUN 1 3 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

From: Juanita M. Kreps

Subject: Status Report & Regional Appointments

The Secretarial Representatives and Federal Cochairmen of the Title V Regional Commissions are the only regional appointments in the Department.

Grandy M. Kreps

We have completed the selection of appointees for the ten Regional Secretarial Representatives located in the standard Federal cities. Press releases announcing these appointments are being prepared.

The nominations for the Federal Cochairmen of the Title V Commissions have been sent to the Senate for confirmation.

Lists are attached of appointments to each position.

Attachments

JUM 1 3 1977

REGIONAL SECRETARIAL REPRESENTATIVES (GS-15 - Schedule C)

Region I Boston Helen M. Keyes

Region II New York Frederick A. Schenck

Region III Philadelphia Frederick A. Ricci

Region IV Atlanta Paul A. Hemmann

Region V Chicago Loren A. Wittner

Region VIII Denver Stephen L. R. McNichols

Region IX San Francisco Allen C. Haile

Region X Seattle Leonard W. Saari

Note: We have retained the incumbents in Region VI Dallas (Edward L. Coker) and Region VII Kansas City (Gayle W. Jackson)

FEDERAL COCHAIRMEN, TITLE V REGIONAL COMMISSIONS (Level IV, PAS)

Coastal Plains

Four Corners

New England

Old West

Ozarks

Pacific Northwest

Southwest Border

Upper Great Lakes

Claud Anderson

F. Kenneth Baskette

J. Joseph Grandmaison

George D. McCarthy

Patsy Anne Danner

Patrick J. Vaughan

Cristobal P. Aldrete

William Bechtel



THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

June 13, 1977 JUN 15 PM 2 12

RL

The President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

In reply to your June 10 memorandum, I am providing a status report on the Department of Transportation Regional and State appointments which are vacant.

The Department of Transportation has only ten non-career positions in the field. Previously there had been a non-career Secretarial Representative in each of the ten standard Federal regions. Pursuant to our previous conversations, I have deliberately left all of these positions vacant, pending a potential field reorganization of the Department.

The Department of Transportation has numerous career Field Representatives in each State from the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Aviation Administration, and the United States Coast Guard. There are a limited number of career positions from the Federal Railroad Administration and the Urban Mass Transportation Administration in some of the standard regions. From this group of career employees, I have designated an acting departmental representative who is in charge of coordinating activities of the Department in each of the ten standard Federal regions.

As you can see from this complex career service organization, the role of a non-career Secretarial Representative must be clearly defined, or that representative will not function well.

I have informed all Senators and Congressmen who have called that we are not filling these positions. I do not think it would be appropriate at this time to automatically abolish them, since this position may be essential for coordinating intergovernmental activities to be certain that all of the various sections of the Department act in a coordinated intermodal fashion.

Respectfully,

Brock Adams

0

"EYES ONLY" FOR THE PRESIDENT

from Charlie Schultze

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS WASHINGTON

EYES ONLY

June 16, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Lyle E. Gramley, Acting Chairman

Subject: Personal Income in May

Figures on personal income in May will be released tomorrow (Friday, June 17), probably at 2:00 p. m.

Personal income last month rose 0.6 percent, compared with a rise of 0.8 percent in April. The slower growth in May is attributable largely to the fact that the April level of personal income was boosted by a speed-up in the distribution of dividend payments to veterans on life insurance policies. Wages and salaries rose at about the same rate in May as they had in April.

If personal income in June grows at the average rate in April and May, personal income in the second quarter will be about 12-1/2 percent at annual rates above the first quarter level. That would be about the same rate of increase as in the first quarter and is one more indication that economic expansion this quarter is continuing at a brisk pace.

WASHINGTON

June 1, 1977

MEMORANDUM

TO: The President FROM: Jody Powell

Reporters accredited to the White House are allowed entry to the Executive Office Building by appointment only. In addition, they are barred, as guests, from the White House Mess, unlike any other category of person. I recommend that both rules be changed to conform with your policy of conducting an open administration.

Executive Office Building. Until the Kennedy years, the EOB was open to reporters. During the Vietnam-Watergate era, it was closed. A return now to the policy of allowing accredited reporters to move freely within the building would do more to demonstrate to the news media the openness of the Carter Administration than any other step I could reasonably recommend. There may be opposition to reopening the EOB for fear that budget and policy option papers generated there might leak, but I believe we have learned this spring that leaks will occur, regardless.

One of the institutional problems here at the White House is the confusing nature of the White House correspondent's job. Allowing them to roam around a bit would relieve some of the pressure and contribute to an improved psychological climate in the press room.

White House Mess. Your five assistants and my two deputies need to lunch occasionally with reporters. It would be a great convenience if we could invite them as personal guests.

Reopen the EOB	Press guests in Mess
Yes	Yes
No	No
Let's talk	Let's talk

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

June 16, 1977

Hugh Carter Jody Powell

Please inform senior staff when policy will go into effect.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Limited Admission of Reporters
as Guests in White House
Mess



6/21 cewalt wunfel

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

TO: The President

June 15, 1977

FROM: Jody Powell, Hugh/Carter

RE: Limited Admission of Reporters as Guests in White House Mess

Your assistants and special assistants need to meet reporters occasionally for breakfast or lunch. The ability to host them at the Mess would be a persuasive public relations plus, not to mention the time-saving convenience to us. For these dual benefits, we suggest these staff members, and Jody's deputies, be allowed to host reporters at the Mess under the following conditions:

- 1) Seating be on a reservation-only basis and only at the tables on the right-hand side of the smaller of the two dining rooms;
- 2) The guests understand that the only conversation in the room that is on the record to them is the one with their host.
- 3) The host pay for the meal, to avoid embarrassment about payment, and to avoid discussions of menu prices.

The senior staff has commented favorably on restricted admission of this type. Their comments are attached. (There was opposition -- yours included -- to Jody's other exploratory idea of opening up the Executive Office Building to reporters, and he is not proposing that.)

We believe the possibility of reporters writing about conversations overheard would be extremely remote under these tight controls. As you know, there are all kinds of other guests now accomodated in the Mess without any regulation of their number or their seating, so the added risk would be minimal.

1	Approve	= 36.95	Careat
	Approve		T
	Disapprove		0
	Let's talk		

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

				_
ACTION	FYI	Note - Hu inform & The poli-	NGTON MINGTON MINGT	of - place then go into
-		MONDALE		ENROLLED BILL
		COSTANZA		AGENCY REPORT
		EIZENSTAT		CAB DECISION
		JORDAN		EXECUTIVE ORDER
		LIPSHUTZ	_	Comments due to
		MOORE		Carp/Huron within
	M	POWELL		48 hours; due to
		WATSON		Staff Secretary
				next day

\Box	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
M	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
1	BUTLER
	CARP
X	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING

	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
•	STRAUSS
	WELLS
	VOORDE

Comments on Admitting Reporters as Guests in Mess

The Vice President's Office:

Jody's recommendations make a great deal of sense; they would contribute to a better day-to-day working relationship between the press and the Carter administration.

Joe Aragon:

I think the idea is good if it should be implemented in a way that does not discourage the kind of free flowing discussion that is currently possible in the Mess.

Zbigniew Brzezinski:

I do feel that there ought to be a place in the White House where one can meet with others in privacy and talk with them in privacy without this fact then being reported in the press. Perhaps one of the two rooms could still be off-limits in case one wishes to keep one's luncheon guests out of the public eye.

Landon Butler:

Why not, as long as Jody and his people are careful.

Stu Eizenstat:

I concur. Given the layout of the mess and the nature of much of the luncheon conversations, reporters are unlikely to obtain much, if anything, of value beyond what they can otherwise obtain.

Jim King:

Yes.

Tim Kraft:

I think that Jody and Rex should be able to invite reporters to the mess, if they want to.

Bert Lance:

I concur.

Bob Lipshutz:

Verbal concurrence.

Frank Moore:

Verbal concurrence by Bob Russell.

Jack Watson:

I think the right to invite the press to lunch should extend beyond the senior staff. It also might be wise to limit press visitors to the small dining room.

Date:

June 2, 1977

MEMORANDUM

6/2

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President

Midge Costanza Jack Watson Stu Eizenstat Joe Aragon Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter Bob Lipshutz Richard Harden Frank Moore

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

___ I concur.

Please note other comments below:

No comment.

FOR INFORMATION:

0/16

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM

Date:

June 6, 1977

FOR ACTION:

Bert Lance concerd by phone

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 3:00 P.M.

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: JUNE 7, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

I concur.

Please note other comments below:

No comment.

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM

FOR INFORMATION:

Date:

ention (

June 2, 1977

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President

Midge Costanza Jack Watsonalka

Stu Eizenstat Joe Aragon

alfacted + Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter

Bob Lipshutz Richard Harden

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

No comment.

Please note other comments below:

refur content sondy to hus

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

WASHINGTON

June 3, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

RICK HUTCHESON

FROM:

JOE ARAGON

SUBJECT:

POWELL MEMO RE: REPORTERS ACCESS TO

EOB AND MESS

(1) EOB - I believe it makes sense to re-open the EOB to reporters especially in light of the fact that it was closed during Vietnam-Watergate. There is a certain symbolic value that is important over and above the fact that what reporters are likely to learn will be considerably less important than they currently suspect is there. There should of course be certain ground rules governing their conduct while in the EOB to ensure the confidentiality of in-house documents, memos, etc.

(2) White House Mess -

I would agree that this is a good idea but only if a way can be found to ensure that staff members and their guests won't become so concerned about eavesdropping by reporters that they will simply stop talking with each other about business matters which although not classified or confidential, still would not be appropriate to have the press reporting on or listening to.

There are several ways to achieve this however. One would be to limit such guests to the smaller of the two dining areas. Another would be to limit press lunch guests to one or two designated days of the week.

In short, although I think the idea is good it should be implemented in a way that does not discourage the kind of free flowing discussion (e.g. an agency official who wants to discuss proposed plans or problems connected with the agency) that is currently possible in the mess.

cc: Hamilton Jordan Landon Butler

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

Landon:

The most important people arenot on this list-Bert Lance for 1.
I am for it because it has long been a sore point and it would open it up...as long as there is some knowledge by the EOB people who might be affected.

For Mess--why not, as long as Jody and his people are careful. Jody knows more than anyone how much the reporters will learn of what is going on. It probably is ok.--they find out anyhow. Gulley E. will scream!

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI		
17		MONDALE	ENROLLED BILL
IT		COSTANZA	AGENCY REPORT
IT		EIZENSTAT	CAB DECISION
II		JORDAN	EXECUTIVE ORDER
II		LIPSHUTZ	Comments due to
		MOORE	Carp/Huron within
		POWELL	48 hours; due to
250.50		WATSON	Staff Secretary
			next day

X	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
П	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

1	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
ITT	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
171	HARDEN
$ \top$	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
IT	KING

1	KRAFT
\top	LANCE
	LINDER
П	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	STRAUSS
	WELLS
	VOORDE

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President Midge Costanza Jack Watson Stu Eizenstat Joe Aragon

Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter Bob Lipshutz Richard Harden

Frank Moore

1977 JUN 2 AM 10 18

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

____ I concur.

Please note other comments below:

Jach Water

____ No comment.

1) I like Jody's idear, with 2 modest modifications: a) I think we should place

Some rules on guideline on reporters!

Access to EOB. I don't think

it's a good idea to them simply

to "Wander around" the EOB at will. I think the right to and the the ATERIAL SUBMITTED. beyone the Senia Staff.

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

The Small dimension of the wise wise the staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

The Small dimension of the wise wise the staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

June 2, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President Midge Costanza Jack Watson Stu Eizenstat Jøe Aragon Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter Bob Lipshutz . Richard Harden Frank Moore

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

____ I concur.

_ No comment.

FOR INFORMATION:

Please note other comments below:

RIGC - ELEANON'S CAMPENTS

Date:

June 2, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President Midge Costanza Jack Watson Stu Eizenstat/ Joe Aragon Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter Bob Lipshutz Richard Harden

Frank Moore

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

FOR INFORMATION:

x carpenstin

SUBJECT: Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

△ I concur.

No comment.

Please note other comments below:

1) Crest it the men already include outsiders whose reliability against leaks is suspect 2) Grown the loyent of the wess and the notice of much of the lunden unvoisation, regesters are unlikely to obtain much refungthing, of value beyord what they can otherwise obtain 3) I great Jody on the Explorer whe of opening the EOB Gues then alloy to obtain infaintion many event and grandenles which until be set firth regarden downers, etc., I see lettle decoderating.

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

Date:

June 2, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President
Midge Costanza Jack Watson
Stu Eizenstat Joe Aragon
Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter
Bob Lipshutz Richard Harden
Frank Moore

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

10

FOR INFORMATION:

SUBJECT:

Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

___ I concur.

___ No comment.

Please note other comments below:

I think we would be used to continue our present policy.

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

MEMORANDUM Date: June 6, 1977

FOR ACTION: Bert Lance

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 3:00 P.M.

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: JUNE 7, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

VI concur. Der Lance

No comment.

Please note other comments below:

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

FOR ACTION:

The Vice President
Midge Costanza Jack Watson
Stu Eizenstat Joe Aragon
Hamilton Jordan Hugh Carter
Bob Lipshutz Richard Harden
Frank Moore

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

FOR INFORMATION:

Jody Powell memo 6/1 re White House Reporters

entry to the Executive Office Building.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: SATURDAY

DATE: JUNE 4, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

X Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

x I concur.

No comment.

Please note other comments below:

I think both of Jody's recommendations make a great deal of sense; they would contribute to a better day-to-day working relationship between the press and the Carter administration.

Al Eisele

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

STATEMENT

This Voyager spacecraft was constructed by the United States of America. We are a community of 240 million human beings among the more than 4 billion who inhabit the planet Earth. We human beings are still divided into nation states, but these states are rapidly becoming a single global civilization.

We cast this message into the cosmos. It is likely to survive a billion years into our future, when our civilization is profoundly altered and the surface of the Earth may be vastly changed. Of the 200 billion stars in the Milky Way galaxy, some -- perhaps many -- may have inhabited planets and spacefaring civilizations. If one such civilization intercepts Voyager and can understand these recorded contents, here is our message:

This is a present from a small distant world, a token of our sounds, our science, our images, our music, our thoughts and our feelings. We are attempting to survive our time so we may live into yours. We hope someday, having solved the problems we face, to join a community of galactic civilizations. This record represents our hope and our determination, and our good will in a vast and awesome universe.

President of the United States of America

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 15, 1977

MEETING WITH DELEGATION FROM THE COMMITTEE FOR NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE LED BY DOUG FRASER AND LEONARD WOODCOCK Thursday, June 16, 1977 9:30 a.m. (15 minutes) The Roosevelt Room

From: Stu Eizenstat

Joe Onek

I. PURPOSE

X

To recognize their commitment to National Health Insurance and Cost Containment.

II. BACKGROUND, PARTICIPANTS & PRESS PLAN

- Background: The Committee for National Health Insurance, sponsored primarily by the UAW, has long advocated a broad National Health Insurance plan -- the Health Security Act (Kennedy-Corman). On Thursday, they are holding a citizen's meeting on health care which will stress the need for both National Health Insurance and Cost Containment. This delegation contains representatives of many of the underserved groups in our society.
- Participants: Doug Fraser, President UAW; Leonard Woodcock, Former President UAW; Melvin A. Glasser, Director of Social Security Department, UAW; Dr. Mathilde Krim, Biochemist, Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (wife of Arthur Krim, leading Democratic fund raiser); Max Fine, Executive Director, Committee for National Health Insurance; Charles Deegan, heads Intercity Community Medical Center, Chippawa Indian; Mike Wilson, Steelworkers Union, Texas; Max Serchuk, heads Florida Council of Senior Citizens; Dr. Robert Harmon, Baltimore Physician, Sister Regina Foppe, Texas; Barbara Mitchell, Black woman who runs free medical clinic in Louisville; Roberto Hanson, leader of chicano group active in health; Edward London, Chicago paint plant worker for 35 years; Nellie Bradfield, farmworker; Tom Romero, migrant worker; Mary Jane Patterson, United Presbyterian Church, Linda Smith, Director of Planning, Community Action Agency; Domestic Policy Staff, Stu Eizenstat, Joe Onek, Bob Havely, Roger Platt.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes C. Press Plan: Press photo opportunity.

III. TALKING POINTS

- l. We are committed to phasing in a workable National Health Insurance Program. The Administration's National Health Insurance legislation will be introduced early next year. We must assure that all Americans, regardless of income, regardless of where they live, have access to high quality medical care.
- 2. Secretary Califano has established a diverse Advisory Task Force on National Health Insurance Issues. Leonard Woodcock was a member of the task force, but now he has agreed to travel abroad for a while. Mel Glasser, [Director, Social Security Department, UAW, who is also here today] is taking his place on the task force.
- 3. One of the obstacles to National Health Insurance is the soaring cost of health care. The Hospital Cost Containment Act is a first step towards getting our health care system under control and we welcome your support of that initiative. Health care costs are now rising at 15% a year which means they will double every five years. If this inflation rate continues, it will be very difficult for the government to meet all the health needs of our people -- by providing better nutrition, safer work places, safer neighborhoods, a cleaner environment.

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 15, 1977

MEETING WITH THE DEMOCRATIC MEMBERS OF THE IOWA, KANSAS, MINNESOTA, MONTANA, NEBRASKA, AND WISCONSIN CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATIONS

Thursday, June 16, 1977 10:00 a.m. (60 minutes) The State Dining Room

From: Frank Moore FM.

I. PURPOSE

This is the sixth in a series of Regional Meetings. It will primarily be for the states mentioned, but will also be a makeup session for those who could not attend earlier sessions.

II. BACKGROUND, PARTICIPANTS AND PRESS PLAN

Background: These Members are generally younger and have been more helpful to our policies, (that is the Members from Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, and Wisconsin). Many of them were helpful on the water projects vote on Tuesday.

Participants: The President, Members of Congress on attached list, the Vice President, Frank Moore and staff, Bill Smith, Diane Elliott (CSA Congressional Liaison), Sarge Carlton (Interior Congressional Liaison), and members of the White House Senior Staff.

Press Plan: Brief national press coverage at the beginning og
the meeting.

III. TALKING POINTS

- 1. Again, you need to emphasize that Universal Voter Registration is a top priority.
- 2. You might want to thank those Members who voted with the Administration on water projects.
- 3. You might also want to reemphasize the need for a sensible, comprehensive and fair energy plan.
- N.B. Following the meeting Rep. Blouin wants to present you with some paintings from school students. Also Rep. Ed Jones wants to present a cartoon. This will take place in the Red Room.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

ADDENDUM

TALKING POINTS

4. Next week there will be a vote on the authorization of the Clinch River Breeder Reactor. You need to stress the proliferation danger of this authorization and urge the Members to vote against it.

MEMBER	DISTRICT DATA WE	UEN ELECTED	1976%	COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT
Michael Blouin (D-Iowa 2)	Northeast corner of state. Major cities: Cedar Rapids,	1974	50.3	#12, Education & Labor #27, Government Operations
(2 1,000 1)	Dubuque, Clinton. 37% central city; 17% suburban.	• • • • • •		"T", Government operations
Neal Smith (D-Iowa 4)	South central part of state. Major city: Des Moines 43% central city; 18% suburban.	1958	69.1	Chairman-Small Business #11, Appropriations
Tom Harkin (D-Iowa 5)	Southwest corner of the state. Major cities: Ames, Council Bluffs.	1974	64.9	<pre>#16, Agriculture #11, Science & Technology</pre>
	0% central city; 19% suburban.			
Berkley Bedell (D-Iowa 6)	Northwest corner of state. Major cities: Fort Dodge, Sioux City.	1974	67.4	#18, Agriculture #12, Small Business
	18% central city; 4% suburban.			
Martha Keys (D-Kansas 2)	Northeast corner of state. Major cities: Manhattan, Topeka, Kansas City. 28% central city; 14% suburban.	1974	50.7	#17, Ways and Means
Dan Glickman (D-Kansas 4)	Central section of state Major city: Wichita. 61% central city; 8% suburban.	1976	50.3	#28, Agriculture #22, Science & Technology
Bruce Vento (D-Minn 4)	Eastern side of the state. Major city: St. Paul. 65% central city; 35% suburban.	1976	66.4	#30, Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs. #30, Interior and Insular Affairs.
Richard Nolan (D-Minn 6)	Southwest corner of the state. Major cities: Minneapolis; St. Cloud.	1974	59.8	#12, Agriculture (Chrmn-Family Farms, Rural Development &
	0% central city; 6% suburban.			Special Studies Subc.) #16, Small Business

MEMBER	DISTRICT DATA	HIN ELECTED	1976%	COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT
James Oberstar (D-Minn 8)	Northeast section of state. Major cities: Duluth, St. Paul. 21% central city; 46% suburban.	1974	100	#24, Merchant Marine and Fisheries. #13, Public Works and Transportation.
Max Baucus (D-Montana 1)	Western section of the state. Major cities: Helena, Missoula. 0% central city; 0% suburban.	1974	66.4	#33, Appropriations
. John Cavanaugh (D-Nebraska 2)	Mideastern tip of the state. Major city: Omaha 70% central city; 21% suburban.	1976	54.6	#27, Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs.
Les Aspin (D-Wisc 1)	Southeast section of state. Major cities: Racine, Kenosha, Janesville, Beloit. 35% central city; 23% suburban.	1970	64.9	<pre>#15, Armed Services #22, Government Operations</pre>
Robert Kastenmeier (D-Wisc 2)	Southern section of the state. Major city: Madison 35% central city; 24% suburban.	1958	65.6	#3, Interior and Insular Affairs. #3, Judiciary (Chrmn-Courts, Civil Liberties, and the Admin. of Justice Subc)
Alvin Baldus (D-Wisc 3)	Western section of the state. Major cities: Eau Claire, La Crosse. 10% central city; 6% suburban.	1974	58.1	#14, Agriculture #15, Small Business
Clement Zablocki (D-Wisc 4)	Southeast section of the state. Major city: Milwaukee 46% central city; 54% suburban.	1948	100	Chairman-International Relations.
Robert Cornell (D-Wisc 8)	Northeastern section of the state Major city: Green Bay 29% central city; 27% suburban.	e. 1974	50.9	#13, Education & Labor #11, Veterans' Affairs
Toby Moffett (D-Conn 6)	Northwest section of state. Major city: Bristol 26% central city; 51% suburban.	1974	56.6	#20, Government Operations #20, Interstate & Foreign Commerce.
			•	

MEMBER	DISTRICT DATA	WHEN ELECTED	1976%	COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT
Norman D'Amours (D-NH 1)	Eastern side of state. Major city: New Castle 24% central city; 8% suburban.	1974	68.1	<pre>#23, Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs. #21, Merchant Marine and Fisheries.</pre>
Charles Carney (D-Ohio 19)	Northeast section of state. Major city: Youngstown 44% central city; 56% suburban.	1970	50.2	<pre>#11, Interstate and Foreign</pre>
John Dent (D-Pa 21)	Southwest section of state. Major city: Pittsburgh. 0% central city; 100% suburban.	1958	59.4	<pre>#3, Education and Labor</pre>
John Brademas (D-Ind 3)	Northcentral tip of state. Major cities: South Bend, Elkhart. 27% central city; 25% suburban.	1958	56.9	#4, Education and Labor (Chrmn-Select Educa- tion SubcommitteeO #4, House Administration
Philip Sharp (D-Ind 10)	Mideastern section of state. Major cities: Muncie, Richmond 15% central city; 27% suburban.	1974	59.8	#22, Interior and Insular Affairs #18, Interstate and Foreign Commerce
Andy Jacobs (D-Ind 11)	Mid-center of the state. Major city: Indianapolis 93% central city; 7% suburban.	1974	60.4	#15, Ways and Means
Antonio Borja Won Pat (D-Guam)	Delegate to Guam.	1972	100	#20, Armed Services #10, Interior and Insular Affairs.
Paul Rogers (D-Fla 11)	Southeast section of state. Major cities: West Palm Beach, Boca Raton, Pompano Beach. 13% central city; 87% suburban.	1955	91.1	#4, Interstate and Foreign Commerce. (Chrmn-Health and the Environment Subcom) #4, Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

	• *	١		;
MEMBER	DISTRICT DATA	WHEN ELECTED	1976%	COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT
Carl Perkins (D-Kent 7)	Eastern section of state. Major city: Ashland 6% central city; 5% suburban.	1948	73.2	Chairman-Education & Labor
Jack Brooks (D-Texas 9)	Southeast section of state. Major cities: Galveston, Houston. 60% central city; 38% suburban.	.1952	100	Chairman-Government Operations. #2, Judiciary
Bo Ginn (D-Ga 1)	Southeast section of state. Major city: Savannah 26% central city; 15% suburban.	1972	99.9	#13, Merchant Marine and Fisheries. #9, Public Works and Transportation. (Chrmn-Investigations and Review Subcomm)
Elliott Levitas (D-Ga 4)	Mid-central section of state. Major city: Atlanta. 16% central city; 80% suburban.	1974	68.3	#18, Government Operations #12, Public Works and Transportation.
Larry McDonald (D-Ga 7)	Northwest section of state. Major cities: Atlanta, Mariett 0% central city; 54% suburban.	1974 a.	55.1	#23, Armed Services.
L.H. Fountain (D-NC 2)	Northcenter section of state. Major city: Rocky Mount. 0% central city; 13% suburban.	1952	100	#2, Government Operations (Chrmn-Intergovernment- al Relations & Human Resources Subcommittee #2, International Relations
Stephen Neal (D-NC 5)	Northwest section of state. Major city: Winston-Salem. 29% central city; 18% suburban.	1974	54.2	<pre>#11, Banking, Finance & Urban Affairs. (Chrmn-International Trade, Investment & Monetary Policy Subc) #18, Science & Technology</pre>
Mendel Davis (D-SC 1)	Southern tip of state. Major city: Charleston 15% central city; 54% suburban.	1971	68.9	<pre>#17, Armed Services. #12, House Administration</pre>

MEMBER	DISTRICT DATA WH	un elected	1976%	COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT
Butler Derrick (D-SC 3)	Western side of state. Major city: Anderson 0% central city; 35% suburban.	1974	99.9	<pre>#19, Banking, Finance & Urban Affairs. #9, Budget. (Chrmn-Budget Process Task Force)</pre>
Marilyn Lloyd (D-Tenn 3)	Southeast part of state. Major cities: Oak Ridge, Chattanooga. 25% central city; 40% suburban.	1974	67.5	#17, Public Works and Transportation. #15, Science & Technology.
Ed Jones (D-Tenn 7)	West side of state. Major cities: Jackson, Memphis. 10% central city; 16% suburban.	1969	100	#5, Agriculture. (Chrmn-Conservation & Credit Subcommittee) #8, House Administration (Chrmn-Services Subc)
Phillip Burton (D-Calif 6)	Midwestern section of the state. Major city: San Francisco 100% central city; 0% suburban	1964	66.1	#7, Education & Labor #2, Interior and Insular Affairs. (Chrmn-National Parks and Insular Affairs Subcommittee)
Augustus Hawkins (D-Calif 29)	Southwest part of the state. Major city: Los Angeles 57% central city; 43% suburban.	1962	85.4	#5, Education & Labor (Chrmn-Employment Opportunities Subcom) #5, House Administration (Chrmn-Printing Subc)
George Danielson (D-Calif 30)	Southwest part of the state. Major city: Los Angeles 0% central city; 100% suburban	1970	74.4	#10, Judiciary (Chrmn-Administrative Law & Governmental Relations Subcommittee #7, Veterans' Affairs
George Brown, Jr. (D-Calif 36)	Southwest part of the state. Major city: Los Angeles 46% central city; 37% suburban	1972	61.6	<pre>#7, Agriculture #6, Science & Technology (Chrmn-The Environ- ment & the Atmosphere Subcommittee)</pre>

MEMBER	DISTRICT DATA	WHEN ELECTED	1976%	COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT
Don Bonker (D-Wash 3)	Western side of state. Major city: Olympia 0% central city; 36% suburban.	1974	70.8	#17, International Relations. #19, Merchant Marine and Fisheries
Thomas Foley (D-Wash 5)	East side of the state. Major city: Spokane 35% central city; 24% suburban.	1964	58	Chairman-Agriculture
Jerry Ambro (D-NY 3)	South tip of the state. Major city: New York 0% central city; 100% suburban	1974	52	#14, Public Works and Transportation. #13, Science & Technology.
Lester Wolff (D-NY 6)	South tip of the state. Major city: New York 54% central city; 46% suburban.	1964	61.8	<pre>#9, International Relations (Chrmn-Asian and Pacific Affairs Subc) #8, Veterans' Affairs</pre>
James Delaney (D-NY 9)	South tip of the state. Major city: New York 100% central city; 0% suburban.	1948	95.1	Chairman-Rules
Shirley Chisholm (D-NY 12)	South tip of the state. Major city: New York 100% central city; 0% suburban	1968	87	#10-Rules
Leo Zeferetti (D-NY 15)	South tip of the state. Major city: New York 100% central city; 0% suburban.	1974	63.2	<pre>#16, Education & Labor #23, Merchant Marine and Fisheries.</pre>
John Murphy (D-NY 17)	South tip of the state. Major city: New York 100% central city; 0% suburban	1962	65.6	Chairman-Merchant Marine and Fisheries. #7, Interstate & Foreign Commerce
James Hanley (D-NY 32)	Central section of the state. Major city: Syracuse 22% central city; 47% suburban.	1964	54.8	<pre>#8, Banking, Finance & Urban Affairs #3, Post Office and Civil Service. (Chrmn-Postal Operation and Services Subcom) #9, Small Business</pre>
			•	

MEMBLER	DISTRICT DATA WHI	EN ELECTED	19763	COMMUTTEE ASSIGNMENT
Henry Nowak (D-NY 37)	East side of the state. Major city: Buffalo 92% central city; 8% suburban.	1974	78.2	#15, Public Works and Transportation. #19, Small Business
William Hughes (D-NJ 2)	Southern tip of state. Major city: Atlantic City 29% central city; 47% suburban.	.1974	61.7	#15, Judiciary #25, Merchant Marine and Fisheries.
Joseph Minish (D-NJ 11)	Northcentral part of state. Central cities: Newark, Passaic. 0% central city; 100% suburban.	1962	67.6	#6, Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs. (Chrmn-General Over- sight and Renegotia- tion Subcommittee) #11, House Administration
Edward Patten (D-NJ 15)	East central part of the state. Major city: Perth Amboy 0% central city; 9% suburban	1962	59	#15, Appropriations.
Goodloe Byron (D-MD 6)	Northwestern length of state. Major cities: Cumberland, Hagerstown. 0% central city; 40% suburban.	1970	70.8	#25, Armed Services #13, Interior & Insular Affairs.
Dan Daniel (D-VA 5)	Southern section of the state. Major city: Richmong 0% central city; 13% suburban.	1968	.100	#12, Armed Services #7, District of Columbia.
Robert Mollohan (D-W VA 1)	Northern tip of the state. Major city: Wheeling 17% central city; 22% suburban.	1968	58	<pre>#11, Armed Services #9, House Administration (Chrmn-Office Systems Subcommittee)</pre>
Harley Staggers (D-W VA 2)	Eastern side of the state. Major city: Morgantown 0% central city; 0% suburban	1948	73.6	Chairman-Interstate and Foreign Commerce.
Lindy Boggs (D-La 2)	Southern tip of the state. Major city: New Orleans 61% central city; 39% suburban	1973	92.6	#35, Appropriations

. .

. !

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 16, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

STU EIZENSTAT

SUBJECT:

Congressman Clifford Allen

Line-Item Veto

Congressman Clifford Allen now admits that he was in error; the public works bill does not include any provision for such a line-item veto. He said he was referring to your right to send a rescission message to Congress on each project.

To support a rescission, majorities of both houses of Congress must approve. The burden is on the President to obtain the majorities.

Courts have interpreted the U.S. Constitution as prohibiting a line-item veto by the President (which would have to be overruled by Congress, with the burden on Congress). One of the criticisms of Nixon's impoundments was that they amounted to implicit line-item vetos.